DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 465 948 CG 031 854

AUTHOR Claus, Richard N.

TITLE School District of the City of Saginaw Dropout Study,

2000-2001.

INSTITUTION Saginaw Public Schools, MI.

PUB DATE 2001-12-00

NOTE 35p

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive

(141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Dropout Characteristics; *Dropout Rate; *Dropout Research;

High Schools; Out of School Youth; Potential Dropouts;
Public Schools; Questionnaires; School Holding Power;
*Student Attrition; Tables (Data); Withdrawal (Education)

IDENTIFIERS *Saginaw City School System MI

ABSTRACT

The School District of the City of Saginaw (Michigan) has annually reported on the rate and nature of the dropout population; this dropout report is the eleventh edition of the state defined generation of dropout reports. One hundred and fourteen, or 5% of Saginaw's 2,292 students in grades 9-12, terminated their high school education between September 2000 and September 2001. This rate represents a 1.6% increase in the dropout rate from the previous years rate of 3.4%. The current reported results noted the following: (1) system wide, the number and percent of male dropouts were approximately 1.7 times that of females; (2) the number and percent of dropouts were largest at grade 9 and smallest at grade 12; (3) Black students comprised the largest enrollment group and the second largest group dropping out (35.1% of all dropouts), while Hispanic and White students had higher dropout rates in comparison to their district enrollments; (4) the most frequently cited reason given for dropping out was lack of interest. Eight appendixes present the dropout retention summary report for 2000-2001, the pupil head count report, and data. Thirteen tables present data. (GCP)



DROPOUT STUDY

2000/2001

Report Prepared By: Richard N. Claus, Ph.D. Manager, Program Evaluation Evaluation and Quality Measurement Department

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

School District of the City of Saginaw

December, 2001

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	- -	Page
INTRODUCT	NOI:	1
PRESENTAT	ION OF DATA	2
Enrollment Ar	nd Number Of Dropouts	2
Dropout Data	By Gender	3
	By Grade	4
	By Age	5
	By Racial/Ethnic Background	6
	By Reason	9
SUMMARY .		11
APPENDICE	S	13
Appendix A:	Dropout Retention Summary Report – 2000/2001	14
Appendix B:	Pupil Headcount Report	15
Appendix C:	Dropouts By Building And School Year	17
Appendix D:	Male And Female Dropouts By Building And School Year	18
Appendix E:	Dropouts By Grade And School Year	20
Appendix F:	Dropouts By Age And School Year	21
Appendix G:	Dropouts By School Year And Racial/Ethnic Background	22
Annendiy H	Dropouts Ry Reason And School Year	24



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Enrollment And Dropouts	2
2	Dropouts By Gender Within Building	3
3	Dropouts By Grade	4
4	Dropouts By Age	5
5	Dropouts By Racial/Ethnic Enrollment	7
6	Dropouts By Reason	10
C-1	Dropouts By Building And School Year	17
D-1	Male Dropouts By Building And School Year	18
D-2	Female Dropouts By Building And School Year	19
E-1	Dropouts By Grade And School Year	20
F-1	Dropouts By Age And School Year	21
G-1	Dropouts By School Year And Racial/Ethnic Background	22
н_1	Dropouts By Reason And School Vear	24



Introduction

This dropout report is the eleventh edition of the state defined generation of dropout reports. The School District of the City of Saginaw has, for approximately 38 years, annually reported on the rate and nature of the dropout population.

Public Act 25 (PA-25) requires local school districts to report to the state from a day after the first official count day to the first official count day of the next school year a retention and annual dropout rate (the current study covers September 2000 to September 2001). The retention rate is defined as the percentage of ninth graders who graduate from high school within four years, adjusting for the students who moved in and out of the district and to alternative programs. The dropout rate (the reciprocal of the retention rate) is defined as the percentage of students who leave school in any year, again, adjusting for those who transferred in and out of the district. The local districts provide the Michigan Department of Education with the raw data using software supplied by the state. This software not only supplies the state with the appropriate percentages and information but also forms the basis for the district's further study of dropouts by gender, reason, age, grade, etc.

The base for calculations (the prior year's first official enrollment count) is adjusted for students coming into the district, leaving for other school districts verified with requests for CA-60, transfers to alternative education programs, retentions, etc. (See Appendix A for the calculations of this year's adjusted enrollment counts.) The raw data for the study are collected by building staff and sent to Child Accounting as part of the 2001-2002 Pupil Head Count Report required by the State of Michigan. (See Appendix B for a copy of the forms used to provide the data.) The Evaluation and Quality Measurement Department, working with data obtained from each 9-12 building, summarizes and analyzes these to provide the information presented in the tables and narrative that follows. Again, note that this is the eleventh year of data gathering as required by PA-25.



Presentation of Data

Enrollment and Number of Dropouts

The number and percent of dropouts reported for each building during 2000-2001 are contained in Table 1 below.

Table 1

Enrollment and Dropouts

			Dropouts	
Building	Adjusted First Official Count Day Head Count*	#	% of Bldg. Head Count	% of All Dropouts
Arthur Hill Saginaw High Saginaw Arts & Sciences Academy (SASA)**	1,387 835 70	87 27 0	6.3 3.2 0.0	76.3 23.7 0.0
District TOTAL	2,292	114	5.0	100.0

^{*&}quot;Adjusted" here refers to adjustments for those who transferred in and out of the district (see Appendix A for the calculations of this year's adjusted 9-12 enrollment counts).

A review of the data contained in Table 1 reveals that:

- Systemwide, 114 (5.0%) of the 2,292 secondary students in grades 9-12, dropped out prior to graduation.
 - --Of 114 dropouts:
 - 87 were from Arthur Hill;
 - 27 were from Saginaw High; and
 - None were from the Saginaw Arts & Sciences Academy (SASA)



^{**}This is the first year for the inclusion of SASA into the enrollment of the Dropout Study, due to the school having its first graduate.

• The chart below displays district-wide, longitudinal data:

District-wide Dropout Data by Year

Year	<u>%</u>
1996-1997	13.6
1997-1998	9.5
1998-1999	6.7
1999-2000	3.2
2000-2001	5.0

Note: See Table C-1 in Appendix C for an 11-year review of dropout rates.

Dropout Data by Gender

Table 2, below, contains the number of males and females dropping out by building during the 2000/2001 school year.

Table 2
Dropouts by Gender Within Building

		G	ENDER			
		Males		Females		
Building		% of Building/		% of Building/	Total o	of Dropouts
	#	System Total	#	System Total	#	%
Arthur Hill	53	60.9	34	39.1	87	100.0
Saginaw High	19	70.4	8	29.6	27	100.0
SASA	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
District Total	72	63.2	42	36.8	114	100.0



Reviewing the data contained in Table 2, it can be seen that:

- Systemwide, the number and percent of male dropouts (72:63.2%) were approximately 1.7 times that of females (42:36.8%).
- The data indicate this finding is consistent across schools that had dropouts, that a greater number of males than females drop out at Arthur Hill and Saginaw High Schools (53 versus 34 and 19 versus 8 respectively).

In reviewing the longitudinal data presented in Appendix D by gender, it can be seen that relatively more male students are dropping out compared to females over the 11-year period.

Dropout Data by Grade

Table 3 below contains the number and percent of dropouts for 2000-2001 by building and grade.

Table 3

Dropouts by Grade*

		GRA	ADE		
Building	9	10	11	12	Total
Arthur Hill Saginaw High SASA	42 18 0	14 6 0	18 2 0	13 1 0	87 27 0
DISTRICT TOTAL					
Number	60	20	20	14	114
% of Dropouts	52.6	17.5	17.5	12.3	99.9**
Grade Enrollment % of Total Gr. Enroll.	765 33.4	567 24.7	507 22.1	453 19.8	2,292 100.0

^{*}The count within each grade may include some students who were not promoted, e.g., for an insufficient number of credits as can be seen in Appendix A under "retained in prior grade."

A review of the data contained in Table 3 reveal that:

- Systemwide, the number and percent of dropouts were:
 - -- Largest at grade 9 (60:52.6%) and
 - --Smallest at grade 12 (14:12.3%).



^{**}Rounding.

An examination of the longitudinal data (see Appendix E) reveals that:

- From the period 1990-91 to 1996-97, the grade showing the greatest percentage dropping out varied greatly $(1990-91 = 10^{th} with 35.5\%; 1991-92 = 12^{th} with 48.4\%; 1992-93 = 12^{th} with 40.0\%; 1993-94 = 12^{th} with 30.3\%; 1994-95 = 11^{th} with 32.5\%; 1995-96 = 9^{th} with 28.0\%; 1996-97 = 12^{th} with 37.2\%).$
- Since 1996-97, the greatest percentage of dropouts were 9^{th} graders (1997-98 = 37.2%, 1998-99 = 47.7%, 1999-00 = 48.6%, and 2000-01 = 52.6%).

Dropout Data by Age

Table 4 below contains information relative to the ages of students who drop out between the age ranges of 14 through 20 and over.

Table 4

Dropouts by Age

~				AGE					Total
Building	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20 & Over	
Arthur Hill	0	3	8	23	25	18	5	5	87
Saginaw High	0	0	6	5	9	4	1	2	27
SASA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DISTRICT TOTAL									
Number	0	3	14	28	34	22	6	7	114
% of Dropouts	0.0	2.6	12.3	24.6	29.8	19.3	5.3	6.1	100.0
Proportion of the 5.0%		_							
Dropout Rate	0.0	0.13	0.61	1.23	1.49	0.96	0.27	0.31	5.0

Reviewing the information contained in Table 4 above, it can be seen that:

- Of the 74 dropouts, systemwide, the largest number and percent of dropouts were 17 years old (34:29.8%).
 - --At Arthur Hill, the greatest number and percent dropping out were 17 year olds (25:28.7%).
 - --At Saginaw High, the greatest number and percent dropping out were 17 year olds (9:33.3%).
- Of the 114 dropouts, almost all the students dropped out prior to their nineteenth birthday (101:88.6%), leaving only a baker's dozen dropping out at their nineteenth birthday or older (13:11.4%).



An examination of the longitudinal data (see Appendix F) reveals that:

- The age at which a student is most likely to dropout was 17 for 2000/01, 17 or 19 for 1999/2000, 17 for 1998/99, 18 for 1997/98, 16 for 1996/97, 17 for 1995/96 plus 1994/95, 16 for 1993/94, 17 for 1992/93, 18 for 1991/92, and 17 for 1990/91 school years. Thus, a great deal of variation in the age that a student is most likely to dropout has been seen over this eleven year period.
- The combined percent of 19 years and older dropouts has increased from 13.4% for 1990/91 and 17.9% for 1991/92 and then decreased to 11.4% for 1992/93 and 8.9% for 1993/94 and 1994/95 and then increased to 11.4% for 1995/96, 12.6% for 1996/97, 21.5% for 1997/98, 26.1% for 1998/99, 41.9% for 1999/00, and then decreased to 11.4% for 2000/01. Thus, an increasing percent of students in the 19 and older group can be observed over time through 1999/00 and then a steep decline this year.

The reader should recall that the age and grade data are not necessarily equivalent; they are confounded by the fact that some students may not have earned enough credits to be in the grade of their age peers.

Dropout Data by Racial/Ethnic Background

The racial/ethnic data are presented in Table 5, below.



Dropouts by Racial/Ethnic Enrollment Table 5

DNICTION	BLACK	<u> </u>		WHITE			HISPANIC	2		ASIAN/ PACIFIC ISLANDER	ANDE	_	AMERICAN INDIAN/	I INDL	AS KE	. 21	TOTAL	
	Enrollment	Drop #		Enrollment		Dropout]	Enrollment	Drop #	Dropout F	Enrollment	Dropout	=	Enrollment Dropout	Drop(Enrollment Dropouts	Dro	oouts %
Arthur Hill High	516	18	3.5	581	39	6.7	269	28	10.4	18	2	8.7	3	0	0.0	1,387	87	6.3
Saginaw High	908	22	2.7	9	7	33.3	23	c	13.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	835	27	3.2
SASA	•	0	0.0	49	0	0.0	∞	0	0.0	٧,	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	70	0	0.0
Number	1,330	40	3.0	636	4	6.4	300	31	10.3	23	2	8.7	3.	0	0:0	2,292	114	5.0
TOTAL % of all Dropouts		(*1	35.1			36.0			27.2			1.7			0.0			100.0
% of Enrollment	·	41	58.0			27.8			13.1			1.0			0.1		_	100.0
Percent of building enrollment									†			+			†			

Reviewing the racial/ethnic data contained in Table 5, it can be seen that:

- Examining dropout rates for students within a racial/ethnic category, systemwide it can be seen that:
 - --Hispanic students (10.3%) had the largest dropout rate and were the third largest group (300);
 - --Asian/Pacific Islander (8.7%) had the second largest dropout rate and were the fourth largest group (23);
 - --White (6.4%) had the third largest dropout rate and the second largest group (636);
 - --Black (3.0%) had the fourth largest dropout rate and were the largest group (1,330); and
 - --American Indian/Alaskan Native (0.0%) being the smallest group (3).
- Systemwide, of all dropouts (114), the greatest number and percent were:
 - --White students (41:36.0%), followed by
 - --Black students (40:35.1%);
 - --Hispanic (31:27.2%);
 - -- Asian/Pacific Islander (2:1.7%); and
 - --American Indian/Alaskan Native (0:0.0%) students dropped out.
- Comparing the percent of dropouts by racial/ethnic category in the district with the percentage of student enrollment from each racial/ethnic group, it can be seen that:
 - --The dropout percentage was high in relation to the district's racial/ethnic enrollment percentage for the following groups:
 - --Hispanic students (27.2% versus 13.1%);
 - -- White students (36.0% versus 27.8%); and
 - --Asian/Pacific Islander (1.7% versus 1.0%).
 - --The dropout percentage was lower in relation of the district's racial/ethnic enrollment percentage for the Black students (35.1% versus 58.0%).

A review of the longitudinal data contained in Appendix G reveals that:

- Over the last ten years,
 - -- This is the first time the proportion of dropouts who were Black has been below their relative proportion of the district's enrollment.
 - -- This is the tenth time that the proportion of dropouts who were Hispanic was greater than their relative proportion of the district's enrollment.
 - -- This is the first time that the percent of White dropouts has exceeded the percent of their district enrollment.



Dropout Data by Reason

Table 6, below, indicates the reasons students terminated their high school careers. A review of the information shows that:

- Systemwide, the greatest number and percent (49:43.0%) of students left with non-attendance due to "lack of interest." The second most frequently cited response was school status "unknown" (30:26.3%).
- Systemwide, no students dropped out of school due to expulsion, suspension, poor pupil-staff relationships, or poor peer relationships.

Reviewing the longitudinal data (see Appendix H), it can be seen that:

- Over the eleven years of dropout data, this is the eighth time the most frequently cited reason for dropping out was non-attendance due to "lack of interest."
- Compared to the two most recent prior years, 1998/99 through 1999/00, the number and percent of students who left for lack of interest has notably increased.
- Since 1997-98, the percentage of dropouts, due to academic failure, has declined from 43.9% to 3.5% for 2000-01.



Table 6

Dropouts by Reason

	SC	CHOOL	æ	OTAT
Reason	Arthur Hill	Saginaw High	. I'	OTAL
Left: School Status Unknown	30	0	30	26.3
Expulsion	0	i o	0	0.0
Suspension	0		ő	0.0
Pregnancy	l i		1	0.9
Marriage	1	0	1.	0.9
Non-Attendance Due To:				
Parental Influence	1	0	1	0.9
Lack of Interest	26	23	49	43.0
Academic Failure	4	0	4	3.5
Poor Pupil-Staff Relationships	0	0	0	0.0
Poor Peer Relationships	0	0	0	0.0
Unknown	18	4	22	19.3
Extended Illness	1	0	1	0.9
Military Service	1	0	1	0.9
Employment	2	0	2	1.7
Other	2	0	2	1.7
DISTRICT TOTAL	87	27	114	100.0



Summary

This report focuses on student dropouts in grades 9-12 as part of reporting practices required under Public Act 25 (PA-25) of 1990. PA-25 defines the dropout rate as the percentage of students in grades 9-12 who leave school in any one-year, adjusting for those who move in and out of the district and to alternative programs.

One hundred fourteen (114 or 5.0% of Saginaw's 2,292 secondary students in grades 9-12 terminated their high school education between September 2000 to September 2001. This year's rate of 5.0% represents a 1.6% increase in the dropout rate from the previous year's rate of 3.4%. Of all 144 dropouts, 87 (6.3%) were from Arthur Hill, 27 (3.2%) were from Saginaw High School, and 0 were from Saginaw Arts & Science Academy (SASA).

Of the 114 dropouts, 63.2% were male and 36.8% were female. Dropouts from Saginaw High were 70.4% male and 29.6% female, and Arthur Hill were 60.9% male and 39.1% female. Overall by gender, from last year to this year, the male rate decreased 12.5% while the female rate decreased by the same 12.5%.

The greatest number and percent of dropouts (60 or 52.6%) occurred at ninth grade. The smallest number and percent of students dropping out at a grade level occurred at twelfth grade (14 or 12.3%).

The seventeen-year-old age group comprised the largest group of students dropping out at any age level (34 or 29.8%). The combined percent of 17 through 19 year-old age groups dropping out was 54.4%.

The racial/ethnic composition of the 2000/2001 dropout population is summarized in the charts below, along with the overall rate.

Enrollments and Dropouts by Racial/Ethnic Group

	Enro	llment	Drop	outs	
Group	<u>Number</u>	Percent	Number	Percent	Rate
Black	1,330	58.0	40	35.1	3.0
White	636	27.8	41	36.6	6.4
Hispanic	300	13.1	31	27.2	10.3
Asjan/Pacific Islander	23	1.0	2	1.7	8.7
American Indian/Alaskan Native	3	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	2,292	100.0	114	100.0	5.0



Black students comprised the largest enrollment group (58.0% of the student population at these grade levels) and the second largest group dropping out (35.1% of all dropouts). Hispanic and White students had higher dropout rates in comparison to their district enrollments (27.2% versus 13.1% and 36.6% versus 27.8%, respectively.)

The most frequently cited reason given for students dropping out was "lack of interest."



APPENDICES



APPENDIX A

DROPOUT/RETENTION SUMMARY REPORT-2000/01

	GRADE 9	GRADE 10	GRADE 11	GRADE 12	GRADS	TOTAL
ENROLLMENT-PRIOR YR:						
AHHS	591	414	326	286	NA	1,617
SHS	501	314	190	174	NA	1,179
SASA	33	21	15	0	NA	69
TOTAL	1,125	749	531	460	NA	2,865
TRANSFER IN (+):	,					
AHHS	243	71	100	148	NA	462
SHS	89	73	25	18	NA	205
SASA	8	1	3	1	NA	13
TOTAL	340	145	128	67	NA	680
TRANSFER OUT (-):						
AHHS	34	15	9	11	NA	26
SHS	205	91	33	15	NA	344
SASA	7	3	2	0	NA	12
TOTAL	558	222	94	52	NA	926
TRANSFER TO ALT(-):						
AHHS	9	3	5	9	NA	26
SHS	4	0	0	0	NA	4
SASA	0	Ō	0	0	NA	0
TOTAL	13	3	5	9	NA	30
RETAINED IN PR GRD (-):		_	-			
AHHS	96	67	51	10	NA	224
SHS	201	101	15	3	NA	320
SASA	0	0	0	0	NA	0
TOTAL	297	168	66	13	NA	544
RETAINED CRT GRD (+):	257	100		12	•	
AHHS	67	51	10	0	0	128
SHS	101	15	3	Ö	0	119
SASA	0	0	0	Ö	Ö	0
TOTAL	168	66	13	Ö	Ö	247
ADJUSTED COUNT:	100	00	15	Ū	Ū	- ' '
AHHS	NA	450	338	321	278	1,387
SHS	NA	281	210	170	174	835
SASA	NA	34	19	16	1	70
TOTAL	NA	765	567	507	453	2,292
ACTUAL CURRENT YEAR:	1421	703		50,	100	-,
AHHS	NA	408	324	303	265	1,300
SHS	NA	263	204	168	173	808
SASA	NA	34	19	16	1	70
TOTAL	NA	705	547	487	439	2,178
STUDENTS UNACCNTED:	1411	, 05	5 1,7	,	,	- , - · ·
AHHS	NA	42	14	18	13	87
SHS	NA	18	6	2	1	27
SASA	NA	0	Ö	<u> </u>	0	0
TOTAL	NA	60	20	20	14	114
DROPOUT RATE:	142 1	00	20	_0		• • •
AHHS	NA	9.33	4.14	5.61	4.68	6.27
SHS	NA NA	6.41	2.86	1.18	0.57	3.23
SASA	NA NA	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	NA NA	7.84	3.53	3.94	3.09	4.97
TOTAL	INA	7.04	3.33	J.74	3.03	7.71



SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF SAGINAW

PUPIL RETENTION / DROPOUT REPORT

dg		· 			Scl	hool Year _			
	(See attached for KCASTS codes for lines 2 -	9)		Grade	Grade	Grade	Grade		
ne		"	Note	9	10	11	12	Total	
٥.	Description of Data		14018	-		grades 9 thro			
		PART	A - D			<u>.</u>		COMPANY	
				1				0	
1_	BEGINNING HEADCOUNT (9/23/98)	<u> </u>			11.5			MAGE:	
	TRANSFER IN DATA:				<u>:</u>		<u> </u>	CARGO CONTRACT	
2	Number of pupils entering the school fro	2a	(A)					0	
_	another school district (out-district) Number of pupils entering the school fro		(^)_						
3*	a SPS building (in-district)	2b	(A)					0	
	Number of pupils entering the school fro	<u>m</u>	<u> </u>						
4	the Ruben Daniels Community School	2b	(A)					0	
	TRANSFER OUT DATA:					·		7446	
5	Number of pupils leaving the school to	[30]	/					o	
<u> </u>	another school district (out-district)	2c	(B)			 		├ ──┤	
6*	Number of pupils leaving the school to a SPS building (in-district)	2d	(B)					0	
	Number of pupils leaving the school to		\ \ 						
7	the Ruben Daniels Community School	2e	(B)					0	
8	RETAINED IN GRADE	3b	(C)		i		,	0	
		6	:- 					0	
9	GRADUATES		(D)		ļ <u> </u>		!	0	
10	ENDING HEADCOUNT (9/22/99)	[5]		<u> </u>	l		<u> </u>		ł
	ART B: CALCULATION OF RETENTION A Pupils Unaccounted For	7		0	·,	<u>o'</u>			Ra #DI
•	. Retention Rate	8_	<u> </u>		#DIV/0!		#DIV/01		(Flain
	Dropout Rate	9		#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	: #DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	10.1
	Line on State Report								
		TC-	CERI	IFICATION]
					Date Prepa	arod	Pren	ared By	-
	Administrator's Signature				Jale Frepa	160	1 100	<u> </u>	ب
		DAD	T D -	NOTES					7
_	SPS (Saginaw Public School) schools include A	Lethije k	موع الل	inaw High Hill	ier and the S	Saginaw Arts 8	Sciences Ac	ademy. Also	7
•	included on line 3 are mid-year promotions from are included on lines 4 and 7.	1 the 4	midale	schools, train					-
(<i>P</i>	included in the beginning count; or pupils that we returning to the school. Make sure that the transchool promotions.	vere ini sfer ou	cluded li ut is incli	n the beginning uded on line 5.	6 or 7. For	line 3 (in-distric	t) include mid	d-year middle	
(E	in the beginning count; or pupils that were not leaving. Make sure that the transfer in is inclu-	include ded on	ed in the line 2, 3	beginning co. 3, or 4.	Jill but but s	, absequently .			3
((line 10) Also include those pupils not counte	d on lir	ne 1 but 15	ning of the cou transferring in	to the school	le 1) and at the l (line 2, 3 or 4))PY AVAILA	, u , u, u, u	ame grade at	
	Up in come and plant one or year, and commercial	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 20			uarec.grpVRetenu	19.40	نـــ

SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF SAGINAW

PUPIL RETENTION / DROPOUT REPORT

ATTACHMENT A - KCASTS CODES

ENROLLMENT CODES

Line 2 - Transfers in From Another School District (Out-district):

040 Public or non-public outside district

050 Nonaccredited training program 016 Vocare Center 018 Agency Placement

030 Non-public within district

Line 3 - Transfers in From a Saginaw Public School Building (in-district):

099 Open enrollment 010 K-12 within district

025 Mid-year or summer promotion (grade 8 to 9) 100 Dropout retrieval

Line 4 - Transfers in From Ruben Daniels (Alternative or Adult Ed):

020 Alternative / adult ed

LEAVING CODES

Line 5 - Transfers Out to Another School District (Out-district):

040 Public or non-public outside district 018 Agency Placement

050 Nonaccredited training program 019 Penal System

. 060 School status unknown 030 Non-public within district

Line 6 - Transfers Out to a Saginaw Public School Building (In-district):

,025 Mid-year or summer promotion (grade 8 to 9) 010 K-12 within district

099 Open enrollment 022 Death

Line 7 - Transfers Out to Ruben Daniels (Alternative or Adult Ed):

008 Suspension - transfer to alternative ed 020 District alternative/adult ed

RETENTION CODES

Line 8 - Retained in Grade

260 Retention

GRADUATE CODES

Line 9 - Graduates (from high school)

240 Mid-year

249 Summer school

245 End of year



Dropouts by Building and School Year Table C-1

					~	Number of L	Number of Dropouts During School Year	ing School Y	'ear			
	16/0661	1991/92	<u> </u>	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01
SCHOOL	1% #	#	# %	%	% #	% #	% #	% #	% #	% #	% #	% #
Central Middle	8 5.4	3 2.4	0	0.0	NA ²	AN	NA	Ϋ́	Ϋ́Α	NA	Ϋ́Z	NA
North Middle	8 3.5	1 0.5	5	0.0	Ϋ́Α	NA	Ϋ́	ΥN	AN	AN	Ϋ́Α	NA
South Middle	1 0.4	0.0	0	0.0	AN	NA	Ϋ́Α	Ϋ́	AN	NA	Ϋ́	NA
Webber Middle	7 4.3	3 1.7	0 2	0.0	NA	NA	ΝA	AN	AN	NA	AN	Y'A
SUBTOTAL	24 3.1	7 1.	1.0 0.1	0.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
A 1139	13 61	,		,	1				-	-		
Arthur Hill	/3 5.1	2/ 7.8	.8	5.8	76 5.0	150 9.8	89. 5.8	150 10.0	/8 5.6	0.0	44 5.2	8/ 6.3
Saginaw High	106 10.9	84 9.5	.5 95	5 11.4	148 11.4	188 14.7	182 14.8	214 19.8	145 15.5	74 8.5	30 3.3	27 3.2
SASA	NR4	NR4	Z	NR4	NR4	NR4	NR4	NR4	NR4	NR4	NR4	0.0
SUBTOTAL	179 7.4	121 5.5		140 4.9	224 8.0	338 12.1	271 9.8	364 13.6	223 9.5	153 6.7	74 3.2	114 5.0
Number	203	128	71	140	224	338	271	364	223	153	74	114
Total Percent					_							
of Dropouts	6.4	4.4	4	4.9	8.0	12.1	8.6	13.6	9.5	6.73	3.2	5.0

Percent of building enrollment.
 Not applicable since junior high schools now house grades 6-8 and are called middle schools.
 State reported figure 6.4%, which was found later to be in error because of an over-reporting of six graduates at Arthur Hill.

Not Reported since a half-day site and/or graduating students until 2000/01.

Table D-1

Male Dropouts by Building and School Year

					Jumber of D	Number of Dropouts During School Year	ing School Y	ear			
	1990/91	1991/92	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01
SCHOOL											
Central Middle	5	1	0	NA:	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
North Middle	4	1	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
South Middle	1	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Webber Middle	5	3	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SUBTOTAL	15	5	0	NA	Z	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arthur Hill	37	20	25	51	81	54	91	48	53	30	53
Saginaw High		51	99	100	121	119	119	83	45	26	19
SASA	-	•	•	,	. 1	-	•	•	-		0
SUBTOTAL	102	71	91	151	202	173	210	131	86	56	72
Total Number	. 117	76	10	151	200	173	210	13.1	80	75	- 22
I dial Indilloci	111	2)		171	707	2/1	717	101	20	3	7/
% of Dropouts	57.6	59.4	65.0	67.4	8.65	63.8	57.7	58.7	64.1	75.7	63.2

¹ Not applicable since junior high schools now house grades 6-8 and are called middle schools.



Table D-2

Female Dropouts by Building and School Year

					lumber of D	Number of Dropouts During School Year	ing School Y	ear			
	16/0661	1991/92	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01
SCHOOL											
Central Middle	3	2	0	NA ¹	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
North Middle	4	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
South Middle	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Webber Middle	2	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SUBTOTAL	6	2	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arthur Hill	36	17	20	25	69	35	59	30	26	14	34
Saginaw High	41	33	29	48	29	63	95	62	29	4	8
SASA		•			-	•	•	-	•	1	0
SUBTOTAL	77	50	49	73	136	86	154	92	55	18	42
			,								
		52	49	73	136	86	154	92	55	18	
Total Number	98				_	,					
% of Dropouts	42.4	40.6	34.0	32.6	40.2	36.2	42.3	41.3	35.9	24.3	36.8

¹ Not applicable since junior high schools now house grades 6-8 and are called middle schools.



Table E-1

Dropouts by Grade and School Year

					Dropouts	by Grade and	Dropouts by Grade and School Year	_					
,	1990/91	1991/92	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	66/8661	1999/00	00/	2000/01	11
GRADE	% #	%	% #	% #	% #	% #	% #	% #	% #	#	%	6 #	%
456	24 11.8	7 5.5	0.0	NA¹	NA	NA	NA	NA	ΥN	I	NA	NA	_
Middle School Total	24 11.8	7 5.5	0.0 0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	VN	Į	NA	NA	_
9th				60 26.8	59 17.5	76 28.0	56 15.4	83 37.2	7.74 87.7	36 4	48.6	60 62.6	9
10th	72 35.5	28 21.9	37 26.4	62 27.7	92 27.2	75 27.7	95 26.1	21 9.4	26 17.0	17 2	23.0	20 17.5	5
11 th	69 34.0	69 34.0 31 24.2	47 33.6	34 15.2	110 32.5	62 22.9	86 23.6	50 22.4	10 6.5	5 6	9.5	20 17.5	5
12 th	38 18.7	62 48.4	56 40.0	68 30.3	77 22.8	58 21.4	127 34.9	69 31.0	14 28.8	14 1	18.9	14 12.3	3
Senior High Total	179 88.2	121 94.5	140 100.0	224 100.0	338 100.0	271 100.0	364 100.0	223 100.0	153 100.0	74	100.0	114 99.92	.92
SYSTEM TOTAL	203 100.0	128 100.0	203 100.0 128 100.0 140 100.0		338 100.0	224 100.0 338 100.0 271 100.0	364 100.0	364 100.0 223 100.0 153 100.0 74 100.0 114 99.9 ²	153 100.0	74 1	0.00	114 99	26.

¹ Not applicable since junior high schools now house grades 6-8 and are called middle schools.
² Due to rounding.



Table F-1
Dropouts by Age and School Year

								Dro	pouts	by Ag	Dropouts by Age and School Year	Schoo	l Year								
	16/0661	⊢	1991/92	1992/	1/93	1993/94	/94	1994/95	95	199	96/5661	199	1996/97	199	1997/98	199	1998/99	199	1999/00	2000/01	0/01
AGE	% #	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
13	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
14	1 0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	3.1	9	1.7	9	2.2	4	1.1	11	4.9	7	4.6	0	0.0	3	2.6
15	21 10.3	3 10	7.8	7	5.0	23	10.3	35	10.4	36	13.3	38	10.4	14	6.3	18	11.8	2	2.7	14	12.3
16	37 18.2	2 28	3 21.9	34	24.3	08	35.7	06	26.6	29	24.7	103	28.3	98	16.2	56	17.0	6	12.1	28	24.6
17	63 31.0	0 33	3 25.8	51	36.5	62	27.7	96	28.4	62	29.2	100	27.5	0\$	22.4	32	20.9	19	25.7	34	29.8
18	54 26.6	6 34	1 26.6	32	22.9	32	14.3	81	24.0	52	19.2	73	20.1	64	28.7	56	18.9	13	17.6	22	19.3
19	20 9.9	19	9 14.8	10	7.1	16	7.1	22	6.5	26	9.6	36	6.6	98	16.1	31	20.3	19	25.7	9	5.3
20 and Over	7 3.5	4	3.1	9	4.3	4	1.8	8	2.4	5	1.8	10	2.7	12	5.4	6	5.9	12	16.2	7	6.1
									,											i	
TOTAL	203 100.0	0 12	128 100.0	138 100.0 224 100.0 338 100.0	0.00	224 1	0.00	338 1	0.00	271	271 100.0	364	364 100.0	223	223 100.0	153	153 100.0		74 100.0	114 100.0	100.0



Table G-1

Droponts by School Year and Racial/Ethnic Background

Year	Black	White	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	TOTAL
1990/91						
Enrollment	1,732	1,048	358	30	10	3,178
% of Total Enrollment	54.5	33.0	11.3	0.9	0.3	100.0
# of Dropouts	124	48	30	1	0	203
% of All Dropouts	61.1	23.6	14.8	0.5	0.0	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	7.2	4.6	8.4	3.3	0.0	6.4
1991/92			·			
Enrollment	1,527	1,016	330	29	4	2,906
% of Total Enrollment	52.6	35.0	_11.3	1.0	0.1	100.0
# of Dropouts	91	17	20	0	0	128
% of All Dropouts	71.1	13.3	15.6	0.0	0.0	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	6.0	1.7	6.1	0.0	0.0	4.4
1992/93				-	_	
Enrollment	1,555	945	320	26	3	2,849
% of Total Enrollment	54.6	33.2	11.2	0.9	0.1	100.0
# of Dropouts	85	30	25	0	0	140
% of All Dropouts	60.7	21.4	17.9	0.0	0.0	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	5.5	3.2	7.8	0.0	0.0	4.9
1993/94		_		1		
Enrollment	1,537	948	283	27	10	2,805
% of Total Enrollment	54.8	33.8	10.1	0.9	0.4	100.0
# of Dropouts	137	51	36	0	0	224
% of All Dropouts	61.1	22.8	16.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	8.9	5.4	12.7	0.0	0.0	8.0
1994/95						
Enrollment	1,572	936	272	17	5	2,802
% of Total Enrollment	56.1	33.4	9.7	0.6	0.2	100.0
# of Dropouts	192	97	47	0	2	338
% of All Dropouts	56.8	28.7	13.9	0.0	0.6	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	12.2	11.6	17.3	0.0	40.0	12.1
1995/96						
Enrollment	1,537	902	286	19	6	2,750
% of Total Enrollment	55.9	32.8	10.4	0.7	0.2	100.0
# of Dropouts	191	47	32	0	1	271
% of All Dropouts	70.5	17.3	11.8	0.0	0.4	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	12.4	5.2	11.2	0.0	16.7	9.8



32

Table G-1 (Continued)

Dropouts by School Year and Racial/Ethnic Background

Year	Black	White	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	TOTAL
1996/97	Diack	White	riispanic	Islander	Native	TOTAL
Enrollment	1,482	906	273	18	7	2,686
% of Total Enrollment	55.2	33.7	10.1	0.7	0.3	100.0
# of Dropouts	244	62	43	2	0	364
% of All Dropouts	67.0	17.0	11.8	0.5	0.0	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	16.5	6.8	15.8	11.1	0.0	13.6
1997/98						
Enrollment	1,238	673	402	16	9	2,338
% of Total Enrollment	53.0	28.8	17.2	0.7	0.3	100.0
# of Dropouts	139	39	43	1	1	223
% of All Dropouts	62.4	17.5	19.3	0.4	0.4	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	11.2	5.8	10.7	6.2	11.1	9.5
1998/99			1			
Enrollment	1,202	798	268	17	6	2,291
% of Total Enrollment	52.5	34.8_	11.7	0.7	0.3	100.0
# of Dropouts	92	43	16	2	0	153
% of All Dropouts	60.1	28.1	10.5	1.3	0.0	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	7.6	5.4	6.0	11.8	0.0	6.7
1999/00						
Enrollment	1,345	622	292	16	6	2,281
% of Total Enrollment	59.0	27.3	12.8	0.7	0.2	100.0
# of Dropouts	44	20	10	0	0	74
% of All Dropouts	59.5	27.0	13.5	0.0	0.0	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	3.4	3.3	3.4	0.0	0.0	3.2
2000/01		_				
Enrollment	1,330	636	300	23	3	2,292
% of Total Enrollment	58.0	27.8	13.1	1.0	0.1	100.0
# of Dropouts	40	41	31	2	0	114
% of All Dropouts	35.1	36.6	27.2	1.7	0.0	100.0
% of R/E Dropped Out	3.0	6.4	10.3	8.7	0.0	5.0



114

153

Dropouts by Reason and School Year

100.0 26.3 43.0 3.5 % 19.3 2000/01 6.0 6.0 0.0 6.0 6.0 49 100.0 1999/00 24.3 13.5 10.8 % 1.3 14.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 74 0 18.66 21.6 1998/99 13.7 3.9 2.6 % 2.0 Ξ 30.1 0.0 46 33 21 9 0.5 17.9 43.9 223 100.1 0.0 23.3 0.5 0.0 1997/98 0.0 0.5 4.0 6.0 2.7 0.0 % 98 12 0 2 1 # 9 0 0 6 **Dropouts by Reason and School Year** 364 100.0 1996/97 47.0 % 9.9 0.5 0.8 0.5 0.0 8.3 0.5 0.5 2.0 6.1 171 30 # 6 2 2 22 0 100.0 338 100.0 271 100.0 55.4 1995/96 0.7 0.0 % 2.6 0.4 0.4 0.7 0.4 0.7 4.4 150 23 62 # 1994/95 % 36.7 30.7 16.5 9.0 0.0 0.0 8.9 3.0 1.2 0.0 6.0 0.3 104 124 99 30 10 # 7 0 1993/94 5.4 0.9 10.7 39.7 17.8 % 4.0 6.0 0.0 6.0 0.0 4. 0.4 224 24 & R 40 # 0 % 1992/93 0.0 88.6 0.0 140 99.91 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.1 2.1 124 0 # 0 0 0 0 0 20.3 1991/92 % 64.8 8 0 0 8 0.0 3.1 0.8 0.0 3.9 2.3 3.1 83 56 0 203 100.11 1% 1990/91 78.3 0.5 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 0.0 1.5 159 10 15 # 0 0 Marriage Non-Attendance due to Parental Influence Academic Failure Poor Peer Relationships Left: School Status Relationships Poor Pupil-Staff Lack of Interest Extended Illness Military Service Unknown Employment Unknown Suspension Pregnancy Expulsion Other

1 Due to rounding.

128 99.91

TOTAL







U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

	DROPOUT										
Author(s):	Richard	N.	Claus,	Ph.	.D.,	Manag	ger,	Program	Evaluation		
Corporate Sour	ce: Schoo	1 D	istrict	of	the	City	of	Saginaw	Publication Date:	December,	2001

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below Level 2A docum	will be affixed to all ents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPR DISSEMINATE THIS M MICROFICHE, AND IN ELE FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUI HAS BEEN GRAN	ATERIAL IN CTRONIC MEDIA SSCRIBERS ONLY,	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL INFORMATION CEN		TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A		Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting	Check here for Level 2A re reproduction and dissemination	lease, permitting	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting
reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	electronic media for ERIC a subscribers o	rchival collection	reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Docui If permission to	ments will be processed as indicate reproduce is granted, but no box i	d provided reproductions checked, documents v	on quality permits. will be processed at Level 1.
disseminate this document as indi- other than ERIC employees and it	cated above. Reproductior is system contractors requi	n from the ERIC m res permission fro	xclusive permission to reproduce and nicrofiche, or electronic media by persons om the copyright holder. Exception is atisfy information needs of educators in
Signature: Notard	. (Law		Title: Richard N. Claus, Ph.D.
Organization Address:	City of Coginery		759-2307 Fax: (989) 759-2244
School District of the		E-mail Address	Date: July 23, 2002